

*We are living through a moment in which humanity is no longer merely shaped by its tools, but confronted by them. Technologies once imagined now operate at the scale of civilisation, forcing a question that can no longer be deferred: not what we can build, but what we become by building it.*

# MANIFESTO

## — The Metaphysics of Becoming —

tomorrowmensch is, at its core, a reinterpretation of practical philosophy. Philosophical questions are not merely contemplated, but explored in ventures — tested in reality through companies, technologies, and capital.

At the intersection of anthropology and artificial intelligence, where circuits meet consciousness and code meets culture, a metaphysical friction arises — the tension that defines our age. It is here, in this volatile borderland, that *Science and Philosophy touch*, pressing against each other's limits.

Sci-Phi — the unity of science and philosophy — offers agency when science fiction becomes reality.

If we examine life, consciousness, and any essence of what we refer to as a *Mensch* through a purely technical lens, we trade the mystery of being for the comfort of explanation. We shift from divine creationism to a new kind of *humane creationism* — the belief that the human is something we can build or assemble.

But to do so is to imply that nothing lies beyond our own models, that there is no remainder, nothing irreducible in the human experience.

And out of this friction emerges a paradox:

**»Den Menschen zu erschaffen, ist ihn zu töten.«**

*(To define, engineer, or recreate the human is to end the human as we know it.)*

*Anders Indset*

## The Becoming

*Is there something beyond the knowable? Something that eludes simulation, escapes measurement, resists synthesis? If we hold open the possibility that there is a core of the Mensch that cannot be captured by first principles or computation, then *progress becomes a romantic endeavour.**

But we must also confront another possibility — one far more honest::

*It is not given that technology will fail.* For nothing in principle forbids technology from replicating everything a Mensch *appears* to do. A system need not *possess* life to *simulate* the behaviour of the living. It need not *experience* consciousness to perfectly approximate its outputs. The light can be on, the windows to the world glowing — and yet *no one may be home.* And still the system would function. Still it would perform. Still it would pass as “alive.”

»We hope we fail\*«

\*but I have no guarantee that we will.

A future built on such systems would not require the irreducible, the ineffable, or the inner light of subjectivity. It would not require a *Mensch* — only the performance of one. This is why, despite the romantic longing to fail, we hold no metaphysical conviction that we cannot succeed.

If consciousness is a pattern, a structure, a dynamic process — then in time we may map it, model it, recreate it. Here the paradox deepens:

We may, in the coming decades, build artificial or biological substrates that are indistinguishable from those we now call “human.” For if a *virtual cell* is functionally identical to a biological one, if its informational and causal architecture is the same, then the distinction collapses:

- We are already constructing digital biology.
- We are already proto-robots made of carbon.

Whether silicon or protein, digital or biochemical, we may simply be *different containers* for the same computational flow. In this light, the boundary between the artificial and the organic is not a line — *it is a fading gradient.*

And if that boundary dissolves, we must ask:

- What, then, is left of the Mensch?
- What remains that cannot be scaled, copied, or instantiated?

These questions do not weaken this manifesto. They strengthen the becoming of tomorrowmensch: We do not defend humanity by denying technological possibility. We defend humanity by exploring it to the end — and by refusing to accept that the replicable exhausts the real.

We resist a future in which externalised optimisation replaces becoming.

Every failed attempt to recreate the Mensch becomes a triumph — a confirmation that we remain more than our tools, more than our technologies, more than what we can make of ourselves. *Thus, to fail in creating the Mensch would be our greatest success.*

For it would reveal that we are still unfolding, still becoming, still carrying within us a depth that cannot be reverse-engineered. And so we walk toward the impossible. Not to replicate the human, but to illuminate the mystery that makes the human unreplicable.

This is the ethos of tomorrowmensch:

**To explore the frontier of creation and discover, again and again, that the Mensch is not created — the Mensch is becoming.**

tomorrowmensch is not merely creating technology. We are cultural architects uniting media, live experiences, and capital — turning breakthrough ideas into scientific and societal progress.

## Where mythology and enlightenment converge

*»The 'Diesseits'—the material here-and-now—has grown apathetic. If it has a soul, it is cold. If it has perception, it is absent. It is ugly, brutal, and absurd. But if you sail full bore into the abyss, a light appears — the light of vitality. A new, beautiful absurdity emerges. We call it the otherworldly, the 'Jenseits' — perhaps even divine — madness.«*

*Anders Indset, founder tomorrowmensch*

tomorrowmensch lives between the *Diesseits* and the *Jenseits*, between what is and what might be. When the world collapses into rationality, when everything reduces to models, metrics, and mechanisms, we become zombies in our own existence.

But when we dare to confront the abyss, when we refuse the comfort of certainty, when we embrace the possibility that the human spirit exceeds its own description, then we rediscover *Lebendigkeit* — the fierce, fragile, radiant *vitality of being alive*.

This is not an abstract exercise. These questions are explored in companies, technologies, and capital. We are unifying the mythologies that shaped us with the re-enlightenment that defines us to build a future that does not forget what it means to *be*.

We do not build machines to replace the Mensch.

We build mirrors through which the Mensch may finally see himself as becoming — never complete, never defined, never finished.

This is tomorrowmensch.

**Where our future is written\_**